TRAPIST Quantification of a Port's Market

Orestis Schinas, Dr-Eng Maritime Transport Laboratory National Technical University of Athens www.martrans.org

Identity of the Research Project

- TRAPIST: Tools and Routines to Assist Ports and Improve Shipping
 - Co-funded by CEC under the Competitive and Sustainable Growth Programme
 - 2-years Duration
 - Strong Industrial Presence (5 ports)
 - Focus on Small and Medium Ports (SMP)

WP02: Quantification of a Port's Market

Objectives - Results

- The development of a routine that quantifies the potential of the market (Primary Objective)
- The development of a validation methodology (Side-Result)
- NTUA (WP Leader)
- Use of ThPA as reference port

Work-plan

- 1. Literature Review and Current Practices Analysis
- 2. Development of a Validation Mechanism that compares methodologies
- 3. Statistics and Flow Data Availability and Analysis
- 4. Determination of Regional Distributions
- 5. Estimation of the Impact of Transit Cargoes, Imbalances, External Changes (Enlargement)

Work-plan (Continued)

- 1. Qualitative Supplement to the Quantitative Information of the previous Package
- 2. Imbalances of Unitized Cargoes and Mitigation Proposals
- 3. Routine Formulation
- 4. Test-Run of the Routine by APB
 - Suggested routines based on generalized cost approach incorporating transportation data

Final Deliverable

- A report of publishable standard that:
 - Summarizes the findings and the results
 - Summarizes the suggested routines and approaches
 - Disseminates the results and conveys further the goals and ideas of TRAPIST
- An MS-Excel application (open-source) for the routine

Notes

- The Port of Thessaloniki (ThPA) has been used in ALL deliverables as Reference-Port
- The location of ThPA enabled the NTUA team to deal with several 'hot' issues for SMP (enlargement, third countries, transit movements, local conditions, imbalances)
- Data availability and accuracy is the main obstacle in the design (transport, regional economic, etc)
- Officers of the Port of Bilbao (APB) commended all deliverables adding professional and operational realism to the outcome

Thessaloniki Port Authority (ThPA)



Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia



11/6/2007



Some Traffic Data (ThPA)

- Bulk and General Cargo Statistics (see table -tons)
- Handling of ~250,000 TEU pa
- ~ 50% of the revenues from the Bulk + General Cargo Traffic
- Actual Gateway to the Balkans – Landbridge -KFOR

	2000	2001		
Total	3,342,137	3,142,477	-6.0%	
Local Industries	1,345,554	1,202,325	-10.6%	
	40.3%	38.3%		
Industries in FYROM	513,135	352,628	-31.3%	
	15.4%	11.2%		
Subtotal Industries	1,858,689	1,554,953	-16.3%	
	55.6%	49.5%		
Rest	1,483,448	1,587,524	7.0%	
	44.4%	50.5%		

Results From the Research

- Trade and traffic statistics can provide enough information to support marketing decisions.
 - national –aggregate- character
 - no information on the main routes trades follow
 - Production and consumption statistics are available
 - issue of format
 - Trade and traffic statistics alone cannot provide a clear picture of the regional trade

Results (Continued)

- There is a wealth of macroeconomic information over the regions
 - harmonized format and structure enabling comparisons and decisions
 - traffic through a port is merely dependent on the macroeconomic situation of the region the port serves; this is the case for ports servicing a specific hinterland as a gateway.
 - macroeconomic data have a better time-endurance
 - <u>Not always can macroeconomic factors be correlated to traffic</u> (see the case of Thessaloniki, where aggregate national figures where 'regionalized' by using the population as common factor)

Results (Continued)

- Regarding transit data there is a mixed picture:
 - It is noticeable that not all states in the EU have had interest in transit movements in the past, though there is a changing tide
 - Even if there was a pan-European O-D table for the trade per commodity and per mode it would not fully address the issue of transit movements, as the routes followed by cargoes are not reported.
 - It is expected that in the coming years there would be an official picture of transit movements in the EU. <u>This</u> would be so only if appropriate mechanisms for collecting such data are in place, which is not currently the case, especially for small-to-medium ports.



Outcome

- Evaluation of Alternatives
- Scenario Analysis (What-If approach)
- Estimation of the market potential
- Quantified Results



Required Data and Sources

Traffic Data

- Flow (import / export / transit):Local Statistics / Shippers / Forwarders
- Value of Time: (studies, approximations)

- Transportation Data
 - Distances
 - Cost per Klm
 - Time (or average speed)
 - Carriers Forwarders

The Evaluation Mechanism



Methodology 1	Methodology 2	Methodology 3

Evaluation Criteria - 1

Usability

- easiness of data collection
- use of modern technology (or automation capability)
- cost (initial or maintenance cost)
- time and effort devoted towards a result
- resources (people and level of experience)
- necessity to cooperate with other parties in order to get a result

Evaluation Criteria - 2

Validity

- revealed or stated preference
- data input procedure
- data manipulation
- output
- self-control loops
- Ability to Support Decisions
 - Product vs Aggregate results
 - Reliability
 - Endurance
 - Strategic vs tactical decisions

Evaluation Method

- Hierarchical Structure of the Decision
- Relative Comparisons (A against B, etc.)
- Use of the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)
- Weights among criteria + Relative Comparisons based on the Fundamental Scale (0-1-3-5-7-9)
- Weights and Comparisons are reflecting the <u>understanding</u> and the <u>needs</u> of the decision-maker (i.e. of every port)
- Application with a Sensitivity Analysis: <u>To Be</u> <u>Submitted in a Scientific Journal!</u>

Conclusions

- Ports are the weak link in the sea-land intermodal chain, therefore it is necessary to incorporate transport and logistics elements in their cargothroughput forecasting
- There is an issue with the data availability and integrity, as well as on their usability on a national and European level!
- The proposed routine is based on the generalized cost approach, and can be customized in such a way to address 'local' problems (market quantification + scenario analysis)

Conclusions (Continued)

- The Evaluation Mechanism is capable Decision-Making tool, useful for the selection of a methodology to invest in
- The Work-Package successfully delivers a tool for Small and Medium ports with a 'universal' application (it is more a pattern rather than a model)
- The research produced conclusions and recommendation for further action to policymakers at various levels

Thank You!